:			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•
	Docket No.: R099	98-238L		
	DOOROG 210	eph P. Bigus		ra an ili Ministanti ili siyene kanasa
v		238,821	und ja vilonder († 1945) Van der samt det statischer	rein er er er bligge grûn er er er en blige en en beske en beske en beske en beske en beske en beske en beske De kommen en beske b
		lary 28, 1999	de jane vertrann arteretische gestellt ist der	
				医二氏结合 医自己性肾髓管的
	The U.S. Patent Off	ice acknowledges and has		भी भूति रहिता होती भारतीहरू तत्त्व भी तिहास स्थापन होता. अन्यस्तर कार्याचीसम्बद्धी स्थापन एती जन्म स्थापन सम्बद्धी स्थापन स्थापन
		date of receipt of the items		
:	checked below which		; ;	•
•		ist 8, 2002		
	□Affidavit			
	□Amendment	•	:	
it elements avails	□ Patent Application	Papers - Transmittal	Logis Constignition Space Service	น โดย ที่มีสมเดาเมาและสมเดาเพลาสลาสูตสสสสสสสสสสส
		pages, incl claims		en de la companya de La companya de la co
	□ Declaration		production of the production which is the first of the fi	
	□ Drawings: she	eets	Province of material production and an expension of the contract of the contra	
		rd. Cover Sheet (2 copies)	A second	
	□ Info. Discl. S			
	□ Preliminary .	Docket No.:	Digus	1
	Notice of Ar	Amlicani(s): Joseph P.	Bigus	DEOFILE
	□ Appeal Brief	Serial No.: 09/238,82 January 2	8. 1999	RECEIVE
- :	□ Petition \	Filed:January 2	0,	APR 2 6 2000
	□ Request for E		-oxyledges and has	
:	□ Response	The U.S. Patent Office ack	fraceint of the items	GROUP 220
i	D	- J baraon the little U	[Iccorb. a.	
		checked below which were		
	If via Express M	□Affidavit		
:		□ Amendment □ Patent Application Paper	ers - Transmittal	•
		□ Patent Application Factorial Spec. & Abstract:	nages, incl claims	
		□ Spec. & Abstract	P-6-1	and the second s
ระบบโทษอยุลาสตร์ ฮสเเล็สส์	Bi yyanagishaadi kaatiibagiinti jicoonsafiiba	□ Declaration □ Drawings: sheets		garanaran arang arang kanang kanang arang kanang arang kanang arang kanang arang kanang arang kanang kanang ka
strings, if herige		Diawings Popord (Lover Sheet (2 copies)	The second of th
		☐ Assignment; Record C	O/SB/08A & Refs.	
•		□ Preliminary Amendme	ant OIPE	
		Preliminary America		<u>ह्</u> ।
		Notice of Appeal	AUG 1 3 2000	56
		Appeal Brief	2002	& \
		☐ Petition☐ Request for Extension	not lifte va	
21.4	totokomat i ilangi timbala ili ngapoli Tili minasi ili. Tili	Request for Enter	MADEMARK	-all
who have the	andra alla della competenza della della Transportatione	Response		-3
production of the		\A	UG 22 2002	- , Mr
		Ju	·	<i>- 0</i>
•		If via Express Mail _(affix label here)	

julija kangan merepakan kerangan kerung 1976 ang mengang kerungkan merepakan kerang mengan mengan kerang menga Mengangan mengangkan mengangkan mengangkan pangangkan pengangkan mengangkan kerangkan kerang mengangkan pengan

and the state of t

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application o	f: Joseph P. Bigus				
Serial No.:	09/238,821				
Filed:	January 28, 1999				
For:	VEHICLE-BASED ORDER ENTRY AND PROCESSING MECHANISM				
Group Art Unit:	3624				
Confirmation No.	: 5818				
	NOTICE OF APPEAL				
Assistant Commis Washington, DC	ssioner for Patents 20231				
Sir:					
Applicant 2002, of the Prim	hereby appeals to the Board of Appeals from the decision dated May 8 ary Examiner finally rejecting claims 1-46 and 48-52.				
The item(s) check	ted below are appropriate:				
1. An	extension of time to respond to the final rejection was granted for month(s).				
2. A	nely response to the final rejection has been filed.				
3. X Th	Notice of Appeal constitutes a timely response to the final rejection.				
Docket No.: R	O998-238L				

Serial No.: 09/238,821

- 1 -

The fee of \$320.00 is: 4. Enclosed. Not required. (Fee paid in prior appeal.) Charged to Deposit Account No. <u>09-0465</u>. A duplicate copy of \mathbf{x} this sheet is enclosed. Respectfully submitted, Date: August 8, 2002 By. CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 CFR 1.8(a) Steven W. Roth Registration No.: 34,712 I hereby certify that the enclosed or attached correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, on From: **IBM** Corporation August 8, 2002 Intellectual Property Law Date of Deposit Dept. 917, Bldg. 006-1 3605 Highway 52 North Debra A. Peterson Rochester, MN 55901 Telephone: (507) 253-1600 (507) 253-2382 Fax:

Docket No.: RO998-238L Serial No.: 09/238,821



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: CCMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/238,821	01/28/1999	JOSEPH PHILLIP BIGUS	R0998-238 5818		
7	7590 05/08/2002				
STEVEN W ROTH IBM CORPORATION DEPARTMENT 917			EXAMINER KAZIMI, HANI M		
			3624		
			DATE MAILED: 05/08/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

.. \$14 6.

		Application No.	 / 	Applicant(s)		
		09/238,821		BIGUS, JOSEPH PHILLIP		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
		Hani Kazimi		3624		
Period for	 The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply 	ears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 F	ebruary 2002 .				
2a)□		is action is non-fi	nal.			
3)						
Disposition	on of Claims					
•	4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-46 and 48-52 is/are pending in the application.					
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-46 and 48-52</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election require	ement.			
	on Papers	_				
*	The specification is objected to by the Examine		h) abjected to	by the Exeminer		
10)[2]	The drawing(s) filed on 28 January 1999 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11)[] 7	The proposed drawing correction filed on	. ,	*	• •	ner	
11/	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re			Tree Examin		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
, i	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) Ali b) Some * c) None of:						
- /-	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been rec	eived.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	4) 5) 6)	Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT		

Serial Number: 09/238,821

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

This communication is in response to the Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) 1. request transmittal and the preliminary amendment filed on February 27, 2002.

Status of Claims

Of the original claim 1, claim, has been amended and claims 2-52 have been added in the 2. preliminary amendment filed on May 24, 1999. In the amendment filed on September 8, 2000, claim 47 has been canceled, and claims 1, 11, 20, 24, 34, 43, 46, 48, 49, and 50 have been amended. In the amendment filed on May 23, 2001, claims 1, 11, 20, 24, 34, 43, 46, and 50 have been amended. In the amendment filed on February 27, 2002, claims 1, 11, 20, 24, 34, 43, 46, 50, and 52 have been amended. Therefore, claims 1-46, and 48-52 are under prosecution in this application.

Summary of Office Action

Applicants' amendment filed on February 27, 2002 have been fully considered, the pending 3. claims 1-46, and 48-52 are rejected as being unpatentable over the art cited below, and Applicants' request for allowance is respectfully denied.

Serial Number: 09/238,821 3

Art Unit: 3624

Response to Applicant's Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 148 USPQ 459, that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or unobviousness.
- 6. Claims 1-4, 6-13, 15-22, 24-27, 29-36, 38-46, and 48-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsuda et al. US Pat. No. 5,794,116.

Claims 1-4, 6-13, 15-22, 24-27, 29-36, 38-46, and 48-52, Matsuda teaches a method and a corresponding system as discussed in paragraphs 5-8 of paper No. 12. Further:

Matsuda teaches the steps of transmitting information about available items as a first wireless transmission, and retransmitting said information about said available items as a second

4 Serial Number: 09/238,821

Art Unit: 3624

wireless transmission (column 3, lines 1-7).

Matsuda fails to teach that the information not being received as a result of a request from said customer device.

Official Notice is taken that transmitting information without a request from a receiving device is old and well known in the art, for example pushdowns in client-server systems are well known, a server is able to pushdown information and data to a client without transmitting a request signal for the information from the client.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the Applicant's invention was made to modify the teachings of Matsuda to include that the information about available items not being received as a result of a request from said customer device because, Matsuda performs the step of continuously transmitting a signal in a wireless communications network to a wireless video terminal, one of ordinary skilled in the art would be motivated to do so, because it provides convenience to the user by eliminating the requesting step by the user, and it greatly improves the marketing aspects of the system by advertising a available items.

7. Claims 5, 14, 23, 28, and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsuda et al. US Pat. No. 5,794,116 in view of Camaisa et al. US Pat. No. 5,845,263 as discussed in paragraph 9 of paper No. 12.

Serial Number: 09/238,821

Art Unit: 3624

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hani Kazimi whose telephone number is (703) 305-1061. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached at (703) 308-1065.

The fax number for Formal or Official faxes and Draft or Informal faxes to Technology Center 2100 or this Art Unit is (703) 746-7238 or 7239.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Respectfully Submitted

Idami Kazin

Hani.Kazimi

Art Unit 3624

May 2, 2002